

An Introduction to Algebraic Physics
Brian B. Tenneson
tennesb@gmail.com
00-02-00

Introduction

The aim of this document is to start using algebraic notions and tools of many-valued reasoning, in the guise of MV-algebras, to investigate the Mathematical Universe Hypothesis (MUH). The hope of the author is in settling the conjectures, a deeper understanding of the universe is realized.

Part I

Key Concepts and some Conjectures

Notation. Let Γ_l be the theory of l -groups with a distinguished order unit, \mathcal{A} be the category of all l -groups with a distinguished order unit, \mathcal{M} be the category of all MV-algebras. As proved in Chapter 7 of [2], there is a natural equivalence between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} . Presently, the author uses \mathbb{F} to denote the functor, made explicit in [2] with different notation, that is a natural equivalence between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} . Furthermore, \mathbb{Q}^* is the set of hyper-rational numbers, 0 is the integer 0 and the $+$ operation is inherited from the set of hyper-real numbers, as is the usual infinitesimal order on \mathbb{R}^* , call it \leq .

Conjecture 1. Assuming the MUH, the multiverse studied in Physics is a model of Γ_l .

Conjecture 2. Assuming the MUH, the universe is isomorphic to the following l -group with distinguished order unit 1:

$$G =_{def} \langle \mathbb{Q}^*, +, 0 \rangle.$$

Conjecture 3. Assuming conjecture 2, the logic “most natural” to the universe is the many valued logic with the MV-algebra given by $\mathbb{F}(G, 1)$.

Note that

$$\mathbb{F}(G, 1) =_{def} \langle \mathbb{Q}^* \cap [0, 1]^*, \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle,$$

where the carrier of $\mathbb{F}(G, 1)$, i.e., the truth set of $\mathbb{F}(G, 1)$, is the set of all hyper-rational numbers that are both not less than zero and not greater than one, 0 is the rational number 0, and the operations are defined by the following equations for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^* \cap [0, 1]^*$:

$$x \oplus y =_{def} 1 \wedge (x + y)$$

and

$$\neg x =_{def} 1 - x.$$

Plausibility Case (for conjecture 3). Given that there is a natural equivalence between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} and that in this natural equivalence, the usual functor \mathbb{F} sends G to $\mathbb{F}(G, 1)$.

Remark. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Q}^* \cap [0, 1]^*$. One could define the set of designated and anti-designated truth degrees in \mathcal{T} by the following equations,

$$D^+ =_{def} \{x \in \mathcal{T} : x \simeq 1\}$$

and

$$D^- =_{def} \{x \in \mathcal{T} : x \simeq 0\},$$

where the symbol \simeq is the usual one for infinitesimal proximity in nonstandard analysis.

Part II

The Universe Generation Operator and Generated Law Operator

Let $\mathcal{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ be a ring with carrier R .

Definition. The universe generated by \mathcal{R} , denoted $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$, is defined to be the group $\langle R, +, 0 \rangle$:

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}) =_{def} \langle R, +, 0 \rangle.$$

Definition. The laws generated by \mathcal{R} , denoted $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R})$, is defined conditionally:

- If $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$ is a model for Γ_l , then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) =_{def} \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}), 1_{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})})$ where $1_{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})}$ is a distinguished order unit of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$.
- If $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$ is not a model for Γ_l , then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) =_{def} C$ where C is the MV-algebra associated with classical logic.

Conjecture 2 can then be rephrased to be, “assuming the MUH, the universe is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\langle \mathbb{Q}^*, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle)$.”

Conjecture 3 can then be rephrased to be, “assuming conjecture 2, the logic “most natural” to the universe is the many valued logic with the MV-algebra given by $\mathcal{L}(\langle \mathbb{Q}^*, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle)$.”

Definition. A worldline with respect to \mathcal{R} is any element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$.

Definition. The pivot operation \odot on $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$ is defined by the following equation for all worldlines x and y in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$:

$$x \odot y =_{def} (x + y) - x \cdot y.$$

$x \odot y$ is read, “ x pivot y .”

Fix a contextual set theory \mathfrak{S} , possibly in the context of any *generalization* of a (not critically relevant to this discussion) classical logic, \mathfrak{L} . Then consider the notion of the \mathfrak{S} -theory developed in a context in which the axiom schemata of \mathfrak{S} are formulated in the context of \mathfrak{L} ; notate this \mathfrak{L} -dependent \mathfrak{S} -theory by the pair $(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{S}) =: \mathfrak{T}$. Whenever some \mathfrak{T} -theoretical notions are invoked, they will have a self-explanatory notation such as $\text{card}_{\mathfrak{T}}$. A simple example of such is the \mathfrak{T} -theory in which \mathfrak{L} is classical logic and \mathfrak{S} is the \mathfrak{S} -theory in which the ‘empty set’ is the only \mathfrak{S} -set.

Part III

Subsequent Investigations and Additional Conjectures

- Investigate the properties of \mathcal{L} , which is, by virtue of its definition, a functor between the category of all models and \mathcal{M} . *In essence*, \mathcal{L} is a ‘go between’ from Model Theory and the theory of MV-algebras.

Conjecture 4. The universe is $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{U})$ where \mathbb{U} is the usual Boolean (lattice) ring associated with an \mathfrak{T} -theory with a reasonable notion of a universal set, i.e., an \mathfrak{S} -set containing all other \mathfrak{S} -sets. In the ring \mathbb{U} , the sum operator is disjoint union of \mathfrak{S} -sets and multiplication is intersection.

Conjecture 5. The laws of the universe are $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{U})$.

Part IV

References

1. M. Tegmark, *The Mathematical Universe*, arXiv:0704.0646v2 [gr-qc] 8 Oct 2007
2. R. Cignoli, I. D’Ottaviano, and D. Mundici, *Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000)
3. S. Gottwald, *A Treatise on Many-Valued Logics* (Research Studies Press Ltd., 2001)

4. M. Goldstern and H. Judah, *The Incompleteness Phenomena* (A K Peters, 1995)
5. P. Hajek and Z. Hanikova, *A set theory within fuzzy logic*,